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## Highlights*

- The older population (65+) numbered 41.4 million in 2011, an increase of 6.3 million or $18 \%$ since 2000 .
- The number of Americans aged $45-64$ - who will reach 65 over the next two decades - increased by $33 \%$ during this period.
- Over one in every eight, or $13.3 \%$, of the population is an older American.
- Persons reaching age 65 have an average life expectancy of an additional 19.2 years (20.4 years for females and 17.8 years for males).
- Older women outnumber older men at 23.4 million older women to 17.9 million older men.
- In 2011, $21.0 \%$ of persons $65+$ were members of racial or ethnic minority populations--9\% were African-Americans (not Hispanic), 4\% were Asian or Pacific Islander (not Hispanic), less than $1 \%$ were American Indian or Native Alaskan (not Hispanic), and $0.6 \%$ of persons 65+ identified themselves as being of two or more races. Persons of Hispanic origin (who may be of any race) represented $7 \%$ of the older population.
- Older men were much more likely to be married than older women-- $72 \%$ of men vs. $45 \%$ of women (Figure 2). 37\% older women in 2012 were widows.
- About $28 \%$ ( 11.8 million) of noninstitutionalized older persons live alone ( 8.4 million women, 3.5 million men).
- Almost half of older women (46\%) age $75+$ live alone.
- In 2011, about 497,000 grandparents aged 65 or more had the primary responsibility for their grandchildren who lived with them.
- The population 65 and over has increased from 35 million in 2000 to 41.4 million in 2011 (an $18 \%$ increase) and is projected to increase to 79.7 million in 2040.
- The $85+$ population is projected to increase from 5.7 million in 2011 to 14.1 million in 2040.
- Racial and ethnic minority populations have increased from 5.7 million in $2000(16.3 \%$ of the elderly population) to 8.5 million in 2011 ( $21 \%$ of the elderly) and are projected to increase to 20.2 million in 2030 ( $28 \%$ of the elderly).
- The median income of older persons in 2011 was $\$ 27,707$ for males and $\$ 15,362$ for females. Median money income (after adjusting for inflation) of all households headed by older people rose by $2 \%$ (not statistically significant) from 2010 to 2011. Households containing families headed by persons 65+ reported a median income in 2011 of $\$ 48,538$.
- The major sources of income as reported by older persons in 2010 were Social Security (reported by $86 \%$ of older persons), income from assets (reported by $52 \%$ ), private pensions (reported by $27 \%$ ), government employee pensions (reported by $15 \%$ ), and earnings (reported by $26 \%$ ).
- Social Security constituted $90 \%$ or more of the income received by $36 \%$ of beneficiaries in 2010 ( $23 \%$ of married couples and $46 \%$ of non-married beneficiaries).
- Almost 3.6 million elderly persons ( $8.7 \%$ ) were below the poverty level in 2011. This poverty rate is not statistically different from the poverty rate in 2010 (8.9\%). During 2011, the U.S. Census Bureau also released a new Supplemental Poverty Measure (SPM) which takes into account regional variations in the livings costs, non-cash benefits received, and non-discretionary expenditures but does not replace the official poverty measure. The SPM shows a poverty level for older persons of $15.1 \%$ (more than 6 percentage points higher than the official rate of $8.7 \%$ ). This increase is mainly due to including medical out-of-pocket expenses in the poverty calculations.
*Principal sources of data for the Profile are the U.S. Census Bureau, the National Center for Health Statistics, and the Bureau of Labor Statistics. The Profile incorporates the latest data available but not all items are updated on an annual basis.


## The Older Population

The older population--persons 65 years or older-numbered 41.4 million in 2011 (the most recent year for which data are available). They represented $13.3 \%$ of the U.S. population, over one in every eight Americans. The number of older Americans increased by 6.3 million or $18 \%$ since 2000, compared to an increase of $9.4 \%$ for the under-65 population. However, the number of Americans aged 45-64 - who will reach 65 over the next two decades - increased by $33 \%$ during this period.

In 2011, there were 23.4 million older women and 17.9 million older men, or a sex ratio of 131 women for every 100 men. At age 85 and over, this ratio increases to 203 women for every 100 men.

Since 1900, the percentage of Americans 65+ has more than tripled (from $4.1 \%$ in 1900 to $13.3 \%$ in 2011), and the number has increased over thirteen times (from 3.1 million to 41.4 million). The older population itself is increasingly older. In 2011, the 65-74 age group ( 21.4 million) was almost 10 times larger than in 1900; the 75-84 group ( 12.8 million) was 16 times larger and the $85+$ group ( 5 million) was 40 times larger.

In 2011, persons reaching age 65 had an average life expectancy of an additional 19.2 years ( 20.4 years for females and 17.8 years for males). A child born in 2011 could expect to live 78.7 years, about 30 years longer than a child born in 1900. Much of this increase occurred because of reduced death rates for children and young adults. However, the period of 1990-2007 also has seen reduced death rates for the population aged $65-84$, especially for men - by $41.6 \%$ for men aged $65-74$ and by $29.5 \%$ for men aged 75-84. Life expectancy at age 65 increased by only 2.5 years between 1900 and 1960, but has increased by 4.2 years from 1960 to 2007. Nonetheless, some research has raised concerns about future increases in life expectancy in the US compared to other high-income countries, primarily due to past smoking and current obesity levels, especially for women age 50 and over.

About 3 million persons celebrated their 65th birthday in 2011. In the same year, approximately 1.8 million persons 65 or older died. Census estimates showed an annual net increase between 2010 and 2011 of 916,837 in the number of persons 65 and over.

Between 1980 and 2010, the centenarian population experienced a larger percentage increase than did the total population. There were 53,364 persons aged 100 or more in 2010 ( $0.13 \%$ of the total 65+ population). This is a $66 \%$ increase from the 1980 figure of 32,194 .
(Based on online data from the U.S. Census Bureau's 1) Population Estimates and Projections; 2) 2010 Census Special Reports, Centenarians: 2010, C2010SR-03, 2012; and 3) Table 5. Population by Age and Sex for the United States: 1900 to 2000, Part A. Hobbs, Frank and Nicole Stoops, Census 2000 Special Reports, Series CENSR-4, Demographic Trends in the 20th Century. The National Center for Health Statistics' Hoyert DL, Xu JQ. Deaths: Preliminary data for 2011. National vital statistics reports; vol 61 no 6. Hyattsville, MD: 2012. The National Research Council's Crimmins EM, Preston SH, Cohen B, editors. Explaining Divergent Levels of Longevity in High-Income Countries. Panel on Understanding Divergent Trends in Longevity in High-Income Countries, 2011.)

## Future Growth

The older population will continue to grow significantly in the future (Figure 1). This growth slowed somewhat during the 1990's because of the relatively small number of babies born during the Great Depression of the 1930's. But the older population is beginning to burgeon as the "baby boom" generation begins to reach age 65 .

The population 65 and over has increased from 35 million in 2000 to 41.4 million in 2011 (an 18\% increase) and is projected to more than double to 92 million in 2060. By 2040, there will be about 79.7 million older persons, over twice their number in 2000. People 65+ represented $13.3 \%$ of the population in the year 2011 but are expected to grow to be $21 \%$ of the population by 2040 . The $85+$ population is projected to triple from 5.7 million in 2011 to 14.1 million in 2040.

Racial and ethnic minority populations have increased from 5.7 million in 2000 ( $16.3 \%$ of the elderly population) to 8.5 million in 2011 ( $21 \%$ of the elderly) and are projected to increase to 20.2 million in $2030(28 \%$ of the elderly). Between 2012 and 2030, the white (not Hispanic) population 65+ is projected to increase by $54 \%$ compared with $125 \%$ for older racial and ethnic minority populations, including Hispanics (155\%), African-Americans (not Hispanic) (104\%), American Indian and Native Alaskans (not Hispanic) (116\%), and Asians (not Hispanic) (119\%).


Note: Increments in years are uneven.
(Based on online data from the U.S. Census Bureau's 1) Population Estimates and Projections; 2) Table 1. Projected Population by Single Year of Age (0-99, 100+), Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin for the United States: July 1, 2012 to July 1, 2060, Release Date: 2012; and 3) Table 5. Population by Age and Sex for the United States: 1900 to 2000, Part A. Hobbs, Frank and Nicole Stoops, Census 2000 Special Reports, Series CENSR-4, Demographic Trends in the 20th Century.)

## Marital Status

In 2012, older men were much more likely to be married than older women-- $72 \%$ of men, $45 \%$ of women (Figure 2). Widows accounted for $37 \%$ of all older women in 2012. There were over four times as many widows ( 8.5 million) as widowers ( 2.1 million).

Divorced and separated (including married/spouse absent) older persons represented only $12 \%$ of all older persons in 2012. However, this percentage has increased since 1980, when approximately $5.3 \%$ of the older population were divorced or separated/spouse absent.

(Based on online data from the U.S. Census Bureau's Current Population Survey, Annual Social and Economic Supplement.)

## Living Arrangements

Over half (57\%) the older noninstitutionalized persons lived with their spouse in 2012. Approximately 13.2 million or $72 \%$ of older men, and 10.3 million or $45 \%$ of older women, lived with their spouse (Figure 3). The proportion living with their spouse decreased with age, especially for women. Only 32\% of women $75+$ years old lived with a spouse.

About 28\% (11.8 million) of all noninstitutionalized older persons in 2012 lived alone ( 8.4 million women, 3.5 million men). They represented $36 \%$ of older women and $19 \%$ of older men. The proportion living alone increases with advanced age. Among women aged 75 and over, for example, almost half (46\%) lived alone.

In 2011, a total of about 2 million older people lived in a household with a grandchild present. About 497,000 of these grandparents over 65 years old were the persons with primary responsibility for their grandchildren who lived with them.

A relatively small number ( 1.5 million) and percentage (3.6\%) of the $65+$ population in 2011 lived in institutional settings such as nursing homes ( 1.3 million). However, the percentage increases dramatically with age, ranging (in 2011) from $1 \%$ for persons $65-74$ years to $3 \%$ for persons $75-84$ years and $11 \%$ for persons $85+$. In addition, in 2009, approximately $2.7 \%$ of the elderly lived in senior housing with at least one supportive service available to their residents.

Figure 3: Living Arrangements of Persons 65+, 2012

(Based on online data from the U.S. Census Bureau's American Community Survey. The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services' Medicare Current Beneficiary Survey.)

## Racial and Ethnic Composition

In 2011, $21.0 \%$ of persons $65+$ were members of racial or ethnic minority populations--9\% were AfricanAmericans (not Hispanic), $4 \%$ were Asian or Pacific Islander (not Hispanic), less than 1\% were American Indian or Native Alaskan (not Hispanic), and $0.6 \%$ of persons $65+$ identified themselves as being of two or more races. Persons of Hispanic origin (who may be of any race) represented 7\% of the older population.

Only $7.4 \%$ of all the people who were members of racial and ethnic minority populations were 65+ in 2011 (9.2\% of African-Americans (not Hispanic), $5.7 \%$ of Hispanics, $9.8 \%$ of Asians and Pacific Islanders (not Hispanic), $8.4 \%$ of American Indians and Native Alaskans (not Hispanic)) compared with $16.7 \%$ of non-Hispanic whites.
(Based on online data from the U.S. Census Bureau's Population Estimates and Projections.)

## Geographic Distribution

The proportion of older persons in the population varies considerably by state with some states experiencing much greater growth in their older populations (Figures 4 and 5). In 2011, over half (51\%) of persons $65+$ lived in 9 states: California ( 4.4 million); Florida ( 3.4 million); New York ( 2.7 million); Texas ( 2.7 million); Pennsylvania ( 2.0 million); and Ohio, Illinois, Michigan, North Carolina and each had well over 1 million (Figure 6).

Persons 65+ constituted approximately $15 \%$ or more of the total population in 11 states in 2011: Florida (17.6\%); Maine (16.3\%); West Virginia (16.2\%); Pennsylvania (15.6\%); Montana (15.2\%); Arkansas (15\%); Delaware (15\%); Hawaii (15\%); Iowa (15\%); Rhode Island (15\%); and Vermont (15.0\%). In 13 states, the 65+ population increased by 30\% or more between 2000 and 2011: Alaska (58\%), Nevada (53\%), Arizona (37\%), Colorado (37\%), Georgia (37\%), Idaho (37 \%), South Carolina (35\%), Utah (35\%), New Mexico (33\%), North Carolina (32\%), Delaware (31\%), Texas (30\%), and Washington (30\%). The 17 jurisdictions with poverty rates at or over $10 \%$ for elderly during 2011 were: Mississippi (13.5\%), Louisiana (12.7\%), District of Columbia (12.5\%), Kentucky (11.8\%), New Mexico (11.8\%), New York (11.7\%), Texas (11.4\%), South Dakota (11.1\%), Georgia (10.9\%), Tennessee (10.7\%), Arkansas (10.5\%), North Dakota (10.5\%), Alabama (10.3\%), Rhode Island (10.2\%), South Carolina (10.1\%), California (10.0\%), and Florida (10.0\%) (Figure 6):

Most persons 65+ lived in metropolitan areas in 2011 (81\%). About 66\% of these older persons lived outside principal cities and $34 \%$ lived inside principal cities. Also, $19 \%$ of older persons lived outside of metropolitan areas.

The elderly are less likely to change residence than other age groups. From 2011 to 2012, only 3\% of older persons moved as opposed to $14 \%$ of the under 65 population. Most older movers (61\%) stayed in the same county and $83 \%$ remained in the same state. Only $16 \%$ of the movers moved from out-of-state or abroad.

Figure 4: Persons 65+ as a Percentage of Total Population, 2011


Figure 5: Percent Increase in Population 65+, 2000 to 2011


Figure 6: The 65+ Population by State 2011

| State | Number of Persons 65 and Older | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent of All } \\ \text { Ages } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Percent Increase from 2000 to 2011 | Percent Below Poverty 2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ```US Total (50 States + DC)``` | 41,394,141 | 13.30\% | 18.03\% | 8.7\% |
| Alabama | 672,586 | 14.00\% | 15.86\% | 10.3\% |
| Alaska | 58,213 | 8.10\% | 58.11\% | 6.3\% |
| Arizona | 921,835 | 14.20\% | 37.30\% | 8.5\% |
| Arkansas | 429,099 | 14.60\% | 14.56\% | 10.5\% |
| California | 4,398,624 | 11.70\% | 21.88\% | 10.0\% |
| Colorado | 575,820 | 11.30\% | 37.23\% | 7.8\% |
| Connecticut | 515,459 | 14.40\% | 9.47\% | 6.8\% |
| Delaware | 133,464 | 14.70\% | 30.73\% | 5.7\% |
| District of Columbia | 70,179 | 11.40\% | 0.65\% | 12.5\% |
| Florida | 3,360,195 | 17.60\% | 19.51\% | 10.0\% |
| Georgia | 1,077,512 | 11.00\% | 36.51\% | 10.9\% |
| Hawaii | 202,544 | 14.70\% | 25.23\% | 8.2\% |
| Idaho | 202,433 | 12.80\% | 37.28\% | 6.8\% |
| Illinois | 1,640,765 | 12.70\% | 9.23\% | 8.2\% |
| Indiana | 858,087 | 13.20\% | 13.64\% | 7.2\% |
| Iowa | 457,738 | 14.90\% | 4.82\% | 6.9\% |
| Kansas | 382,661 | 13.30\% | 7.26\% | 7.2\% |
| Kentucky | 591,851 | 13.50\% | 17.24\% | 11.8\% |
| Louisiana | 572,440 | 12.50\% | 10.87\% | 12.7\% |
| Maine | 216,218 | 16.30\% | 17.50\% | 8.0\% |
| Maryland | 729,488 | 12.50\% | 21.32\% | 7.5\% |
| Massachusetts | 921,889 | 14.00\% | 7.08\% | 9.3\% |
| Michigan | 1,389,155 | 14.10\% | 13.59\% | 8.2\% |
| Minnesota | 701,768 | 13.10\% | 17.56\% | 8.0\% |
| Mississippi | 388,071 | 13.00\% | 13.15\% | 13.5\% |
| Missouri | 854,652 | 14.20\% | 13.07\% | 8.2\% |
| Montana | 151,452 | 15.20\% | 24.97\% | 8.1\% |
| Nebraska | 250,382 | 13.60\% | 7.71\% | 7.8\% |
| Nevada | 339,478 | 12.50\% | 53.10\% | 9.4\% |
| New Hampshire | 184,376 | 14.00\% | 24.19\% | 6.2\% |
| New Jersey | 1,208,360 | 13.70\% | 8.48\% | 7.8\% |
| New Mexico | 282,375 | 13.60\% | 32.61\% | 11.8\% |
| New York | 2,664,694 | 13.70\% | 8.62\% | 11.7\% |
| North Carolina | 1,278,786 | 13.20\% | 31.67\% | 9.7\% |
| North Dakota | 98,595 | 14.40\% | 4.45\% | 10.5\% |
| Ohio | 1,648,444 | 14.30\% | 9.26\% | 7.7\% |
| Oklahoma | 517,654 | 13.70\% | 13.70\% | 9.5\% |
| Oregon | 553,061 | 14.30\% | 25.87\% | 7.4\% |
| Pennsylvania | 1,981,565 | 15.60\% | 3.31\% | 8.0\% |
| Rhode Island | 154,143 | 14.70\% | 1.16\% | 10.2\% |
| South Carolina | 658,561 | 14.10\% | 35.34\% | 10.1\% |
| South Dakota | 118,653 | 14.40\% | 9.81\% | 11.1\% |
| Tennessee | 879,651 | 13.70\% | 24.86\% | 10.7\% |
| Texas | 2,706,029 | 10.50\% | 30.21\% | 11.4\% |
| Utah | 259,221 | 9.20\% | 35.28\% | 5.3\% |
| Vermont | 94,122 | 15.00\% | 20.75\% | 7.0\% |
| Virginia | 1,011,063 | 12.50\% | 27.36\% | 7.5\% |
| Washington | 864,873 | 12.70\% | 30.15\% | 8.5\% |
| West Virginia | 300,970 | 16.20\% | 8.80\% | 9.4\% |
| Wisconsin | 792,620 | 13.90\% | 12.49\% | 7.5\% |
| Wyoming | 72,267 | 12.70\% | 24.33\% | 6.2\% |
| Puerto Rico | 600,927 | 15.00\% | 40.00\% | 39.00\% |

(Based on online data from the U.S. Census Bureau's 1) Population Estimates and Projections; 2) American Community Survey; 3) Current Population Survey, Annual Social and Economic Supplement; and 4) International Data Base.)

## Income

The median income of older persons in 2011 was $\$ 27,707$ for males and $\$ 15,362$ for females. From 2010 to 2011, median money income (after adjusting for inflation) of all households headed by older people rose $2 \%$ but this was not statistically significant. Households containing families headed by persons $65+$ reported a median income in 2011 of $\$ 48,538$ ( $\$ 50,658$ for non-Hispanic Whites, $\$ 33,809$ for Hispanics, $\$ 39,533$ for African-Americans, and $\$ 50,971$ for Asians). About $5 \%$ of family households with an elderly householder had incomes less than $\$ 15,000$ and $67 \%$ had incomes of $\$ 35,000$ or more (Figure 7).

Figure 7: Percent Distribution by Income: 2011

\$48,538 median for 14.4 million family households 65+

\$19,939 median for 40.2 million persons 65+ reporting income.

For all older persons reporting income in 2011 (40.2 million), 17.8\% reported less than \$10,000 and 40\% reported $\$ 25,000$ or more. The median income reported was $\$ 19,939$.

The major sources of income as reported by older persons in 2010 were Social Security (reported by 86\% of older persons), income from assets (reported by $52 \%$ ), private pensions (reported by $27 \%$ ), government employee pensions (reported by 15\%), and earnings (reported by $26 \%$ ). In 2010, Social Security benefits accounted for $37 \%$ of the aggregate income of the older population. The bulk of the remainder consisted of earnings (30\%), asset income (11\%), and pensions (18\%). Social Security constituted $90 \%$ or more of the income received by $36 \%$ of beneficiaries ( $23 \%$ of married couples and $46 \%$ of non-married beneficiaries).
(Based on online data from the U.S. Census Bureau's 1) Current Population Survey, Annual Social and Economic Supplement; and 2) "Income, Poverty, and Health Insurance Coverage in the United States: 2011," P60-243, issued September, 2012. The Social Security Administration's "Fast Facts and Figures About Social Security, 2012.")

## Poverty

Over 3.6 million elderly persons (8.7\%) were below the poverty level in 2011. This poverty rate is not statistically different from the poverty rate in 2010 ( $8.9 \%$ ). Another 2.4 million or $5.8 \%$ of the elderly were classified as "near-poor" (income between the poverty level and $125 \%$ of this level).

Just over 1.6 million older Whites (not Hispanic) (6.7\%) were poor in 2011, compared to $17.3 \%$ of elderly African-Americans, 11.7\% of Asians, and $18.7 \%$ of elderly Hispanics. Higher than average poverty rates were found in 2011 for older persons who lived inside principal cities (11.7\%) and in the South (10.1\%).

Older women had a higher poverty rate (10.7\%) than older men (6.2\%) in 2011. Older persons living alone were much more likely to be poor (16.5\%) than were older persons living with families (5\%). The highest poverty rates were experienced among older Hispanic women (38.8\%) who lived alone and also by older Black women (32.2\%) who lived alone.

In 2011, the U.S. Census Bureau released a new Supplemental Poverty Measure (SPM). The SPM methodology shows a significantly higher number of older persons below poverty than is shown by the official poverty measure. For persons 65 and older this poverty measure shows a poverty level of $15.1 \%$ in 2011 (more than 6 percentage points higher than the official rate of $8.7 \%$ ). Unlike the official poverty rate, the SPM takes into account regional variations in the cost of housing etc. and, even more significantly, the impact of both non-cash benefits received (e.g., SNAP/food stamps, low income tax credits, WIC, etc.) and non-discretionary expenditures including medical out-of-pocket (MOOP) expenses. For persons 65 and over, MOOP was the major source of the significant differences between these measures. Bear in mind that the SPM does not replace the official poverty measure.
(Based on online data from the U.S. Census Bureau's 1) Current Population Survey, Annual Social and Economic Supplement;2) "Income, Poverty, and Health Insurance Coverage in the United States: 2011," P60-243, issued September, 2012; and 3) "The Research Supplemental Poverty Measure," P60-244, issued November 2012.)

## Housing

Of the 25.1 million households headed by older persons in $2011,81 \%$ were owners and $19 \%$ were renters. The median family income of older homeowners was $\$ 32,900$. The median family income of older renters was $\$ 16,200$. In 2011, almost $50 \%$ of older householders spent more than one-fourth of their income on housing costs $-43 \%$ for owners and $71 \%$ for renters - as compared to $50 \%$ of all householders.

For older homeowners in 2011, the median construction year was 1970 compared with 1976 for all homeowners. Among the homes owned by people age 65 and older, $3.3 \%$ had physical problems. In 2011, the median value of homes owned by older persons was $\$ 150,000$ (with a median purchase price of $\$ 55,000$ ) compared to a median home value of $\$ 160,000$ for all homeowners. About $65 \%$ of older homeowners in 2011 owned their homes free and clear.
(Based on online data sponsored by the Department of Housing and Urban Development, available from the U.S. Census Bureau, American Housing Survey, National Tables: 2011.)

## Employment

In 2012, 7.7 million (18.5 \%) Americans age 65 and over were in the labor force (working or actively seeking work), including 4.3 million men ( $23.6 \%$ ) and 3.4 million women (14.4\%). They constituted 5\% of the U.S. labor force. About $6.2 \%$ were unemployed. Labor force participation of men 65+ decreased steadily from 2 of 3 in 1900 to $15.8 \%$ in 1985; then stayed at $16 \%-18 \%$ until 2002; and has been increasing since then to over $20 \%$. The participation rate for women $65+$ rose slightly from 1 of 12 in 1900 to $10.8 \%$ in 1956, fell to $7.3 \%$ in 1985, was around $7 \%-9 \%$ from 1986 - 2002. However, beginning in 2000, labor force participation of older women has been gradually rising to the 2012 level. This increase is especially noticeable among the population aged 65-69.
(Based on online data from the Bureau of Labor Statistics’ Current Population Survey, Labor Force Statistics.)

## Education

The educational level of the older population is increasing. Between 1970 and 2012, the percentage of older persons who had completed high school rose from $28 \%$ to $81 \%$. About $24 \%$ in 2012 had a bachelor's degree or higher. The percentage who had completed high school varied considerably by race and ethnic origin in 2012: 86\% of Whites (not Hispanic), $74 \%$ of Asians, $69 \%$ of African-Americans, $69 \%$ of American Indian/Alaska Natives, and $49.0 \%$ of Hispanics. The increase in educational levels is also evident within these groups. In 1970, only $30 \%$ of older Whites and $9 \%$ of older African-Americans were high school graduates.
(Based on online data from the U.S. Census Bureau's Current Population Survey, Annual Social and Economic Supplement.)

## Health and Health Care

In 2012, 44\% of noninstitutionalized older persons assessed their health as excellent or very good (compared to $64 \%$ for persons aged 18-64 years). There was little difference between the sexes on this measure, but older African-Americans (not Hispanic) (25.8\%), older American Indians/Alaska Natives (29\%), older Asians (33\%), and older Hispanics (29.7\%) were less likely to rate their health as excellent or very good than were older Whites (not Hispanic) (44.7\%). Most older persons have at least one chronic condition and many have multiple conditions. In 2009-2011, the most frequently occurring conditions among older persons were: diagnosed arthritis (51\%), all types of heart disease (31\%), any cancer (24\%), diagnosed diabetes ( $20 \%$ in 2007-2010), and hypertension (high blood pressure or taking antihypertensive medication) ( 72 percent in 2007-2010).

In 2012, $68 \%$ of people age 65 and over reported that they received an influenza vaccination during the past 12 months and $60 \%$ reported that they had ever received a pneumococcal vaccination. About 28\% (of persons $60+$ ) reported height/weight combinations that placed them among the obese. Almost $45 \%$ of persons aged 65-74 and 29\% of persons $75+$ reported that they engaged in regular leisure-time physical activity. Only $9 \%$ reported that they are current smokers and $6 \%$ reported excessive alcohol consumption. Only 2\% reported that they had experienced psychological distress during the past 30 days.

In 2010, about 13.6 million persons aged 65 and older were discharged from short stay hospitals. This is a rate of $3,326.4$ for every 10,000 persons aged $65+$ which is about three times the comparable rate for persons of all ages (which was 1,102 per 10,000 ). The average length of stay for persons aged $65-74$ was 5.4 days; for ages $75-84$ it was 5.7 days; and for ages 85 and over it was 5.6 days. The comparable rate for persons of all ages was 4.8 days. The average length of stay for older people has decreased by 5 days since 1980. Older persons averaged more office visits with doctors in 2011. Among people age 75 and over, 21 percent had 10 or more visits to a doctor or other health care professional in the past 12 months compared to 14 percent among people age 45 to 64 . In 2012, almost $96 \%$ of older persons reported that they did have a usual place to go for medical care and only $2.4 \%$ said that they failed to obtain needed medical care during the previous 12 months due to financial barriers.

In 2011 older consumers averaged out-of-pocket health care expenditures of $\$ 4,769$, an increase of $46 \%$ since 2000. In contrast, the total population spent considerably less, averaging $\$ 3,313$ in out-of-pocket costs. Older Americans spent $12.2 \%$ of their total expenditures on health, almost twice the proportion spent by all consumers ( $6.7 \%$ ). Health costs incurred on average by older consumers in 2011 consisted of $\$ 3,076$ ( $64 \%$ ) for insurance, $\$ 786$ ( $16 \%$ ) for medical services, $\$ 714$ (15\%) for drugs, and $\$ 193$ (4.0\%) for medical supplies.
(Based on online data from the National Center for Health Statistics' 1) Health Data Interactive data warehouse; and 2) Early Release of Selected Estimates Based on Data From the January-September 2012 National Health Interview Survey. The Bureau of Labor Statistics’ Consumer Expenditure Survey.)

## Health Insurance Coverage

In 2011, almost all (93\%) non-institutionalized persons 65+ were covered by Medicare. Medicare covers mostly acute care services and requires beneficiaries to pay part of the cost, leaving about half of health spending to be covered by other sources. About $58 \%$ had some type of private health insurance. Over $9 \%$ had military-based health insurance and $9 \%$ of the non-institutionalized elderly were covered by Medicaid (Figure 8). Less than $2 \%$ did not have coverage of some kind. About $86 \%$ of noninstitutionalized Medicare beneficiaries in 2009 had some type of supplementary coverage. Among Medicare beneficiaries residing in nursing homes, almost half (49\%) were covered by Medicaid.

Figure 8:


Note: Figure 8 data are for the non-institutionalized elderly. A person can be represented in more than one category.
(Based on online data from the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services’ Medicare Current Beneficiary Survey. The U.S. Census Bureau's "Income, Poverty, and Health Insurance Coverage in the United States: 2011," P60-243, issued September, 2012.)

## Disability and Activity Limitations

Some type of disability (i.e., difficulty in hearing, vision, cognition, ambulation, self-care, or independent living) was reported by $35 \%$ of men and $38 \%$ of women age $65+$ in 2011 . Some of these disabilities may be relatively minor but others cause people to require assistance to meet important personal needs. There is a strong relationship between disability status and reported health status. Presence of a severe disability is also associated with lower income levels and educational attainment.

Using limitations in activities of daily living (ADLs) and instrumental activities of daily living (IADLs) to measure disability, $28 \%$ of community-resident Medicare beneficiaries age $65+$ reported difficulty in performing one or more ADL and an additional $12 \%$ reported difficulty with one or more IADL. By contrast, $92 \%$ of institutionalized Medicare beneficiaries had difficulties with one or more ADLs and $76 \%$ of them had difficulty with three or more ADLs. [ADLs include bathing, dressing, eating, and getting around the house. IADLs include preparing meals, shopping, managing money, using the telephone, doing housework, and taking medication.] Limitations in activities because of chronic conditions increase with age. As shown in Figure 9, the rate of limitations in activities among noninstitutionalized persons 85 and older are much higher than those for persons 65-74.

Figure 9:


Except where noted, the figures above are taken from surveys of the noninstitutionalized elderly.
Although nursing homes are being increasingly used for short-stay post-acute care, about 1.3 million elderly are in nursing homes (more than half are age 85 and over). These individuals often need care with their ADLs and/or have severe cognitive impairment due to Alzheimer's disease or other dementias.
(Based on online data from the U.S. Census Bureau's American Community Survey. The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services’ Medicare Current Beneficiary Survey. The National Center for Health Statistics, including the NCHS Health Data Interactive data warehouse.)

## Notes:

*Principal sources of data for the Profile are the U.S. Census Bureau, the National Center for Health Statistics, and the Bureau of Labor Statistics. The Profile incorporates the latest data available but not all items are updated on an annual basis.

A Profile of Older Americans: 2012 was developed by the Administration on Aging (AoA), Administration for Community Living, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

AoA serves as an advocate for the elderly within the federal government and is working to encourage and coordinate a responsive system of family and community based services throughout the nation. AoA helps states develop comprehensive service systems which are administered by 56 State and Territorial Units on Aging, 629 Area Agencies on Aging, 246 Native American and Hawaiian organizations, and approximately 20,000 local service providers.

